

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,227		11/14/2003	Akira Asai	MAZN0104PUSA	2541	
22045	7590	07/02/2004		EXAMINER		
2110 011	S KUSH WN CEN	MAN P.C.	ESHETE, ZELALEM			
		ID FLOOR	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTH	FIELD, M	II 48075		3748		
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/200	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			111
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 11U -
	10/714,227	ASAI ET AL.	_W
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zelalem Eshete	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may be by within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tle, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this common the mailing date of this common the mailing date of this common than the mailing date of this common than the mailing date of this common than the mailing date of the mailing	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		•	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) □ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/03;03/22/04. 	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (6,405,694) in view of Ishii et al. (6,478,000).

Sato discloses an engine variable valve timing system comprising: a hydraulic variable intake phase mechanism and a hydraulic variable exhaust phase mechanism respectively provided on the ends of an intake camshaft and an exhaust camshaft that respectively vary the respective phases of the camshafts, the variable phase mechanisms respectively having advancing hydraulic pressure chambers and retarding hydraulic pressure chambers (see figures 1-4); an intake hydraulic pressure control valve and an exhaust hydraulic pressure control valve that respectively control the hydraulic pressure supplied to the advancing hydraulic pressure chambers and the retarding hydraulic pressure chambers of the variable phase mechanisms (see numerals 24,25); intake-side advancing hydraulic line and an intake-side retarding hydraulic line that respectively connect the intake hydraulic pressure control valve to the advancing hydraulic pressure chamber and the retarding hydraulic pressure chamber of

the variable intake phase mechanism (see figures 1,2); and an exhaust-side advancing hydraulic line and an exhaust-side retarding hydraulic line that respectively connect the exhaust hydraulic pressure control valve to the advancing hydraulic pressure chamber and the retarding hydraulic pressure chamber of the variable exhaust phase mechanism (see figures 1,2); wherein portions of the intake-side advancing hydraulic line and the intake-side retarding hydraulic line respectively constitute annular grooves for advancing and retarding provided on the intake camshaft bearing surface of the cam cap which supports the camshaft, and portions of the exhaust-side advancing hydraulic line and the exhaust-side retarding hydraulic line respectively constitute annular grooves for advancing and retarding provided on the exhaust camshaft bearing surface of the cam cap which supports the camshaft (see numerals 46,47).

Sato fails to disclose the annular groove for retarding on the intake camshaft bearing surface and the annular groove for advancing on the exhaust camshaft bearing surface are respectively provided in the center in the width direction of their respective bearing surfaces; the annular groove for advancing on the intake camshaft bearing surface and the annular groove for retarding on the exhaust camshaft bearing surface of the cam cap are respectively provided near the edges of their respective bearing surfaces in the width direction, on the side close to respective variable phase mechanisms.

However, Ishii teaches the annular groove for advancing on the exhaust/intake camshaft bearing surface are respectively provided in the center in the width direction of their respective bearing surfaces; and the annular groove for retarding

on the exhaust/intake camshaft bearing surface of the cam cap are respectively provided near the edges of their respective bearing surfaces in the width direction (see figure 2, column 20, line 54 to column 21, line 10).

In addition, the above combination fails to show reversing the retard and advance grooves on the intake camshaft (intake side). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reverse the groove positions depending on the engine, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *În re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato in view of Ishii as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Takahashi et al. (6,516,759).

Sato in view of Ishii discloses the claimed invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose the variable exhaust phase mechanism is provided with a spring that presses the camshaft in the advancing direction with respect to a crankshaft-side rotating member.

However, Takahashi teaches the variable exhaust phase mechanism is provided with a spring that presses the camshaft in the advancing direction with respect to a crankshaft-side rotating member in order to offset a reactive force of the camshaft (see column 10, lines 43 to 51).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Sato in view of Ishii by providing a spring arrangement as taught by Takahashi in order to offset a reactive force of the camshaft as taught by Takahashi.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato in view of Ishii as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Suzuki (6,289,861).

Sato in view of Ishii discloses the claimed invention as recited above; and Sato further discloses the exhaust hydraulic side advancing hydraulic line that extends from the exhaust hydraulic pressure control valve to the annular groove is provided in a position (above, had it been vertical) that extends from the exhaust hydraulic pressure control valve to the annular groove (see figure 2).

Sato in view of Ishii fails to disclose the exhaust hydraulic pressure control valve is attached to the cam cap toward the vertical direction.

Suzuki teaches the hydraulic pressure control valve attached in the vertical direction (see figure 4). Suzuki also teaches that such arrangement results in a very compact assembly (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Sato in view of Ishii by providing a vertically attached hydraulic pressure control valve as taught by Suzuki in order to achieve a more compact assembly as taught by Suzuki.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zelalem Eshete whose telephone number is (703) 306-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zelalem Eshete Examiner Art Unit 3748

Ζ

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700